Continuous Skyline Queries in Mobile Environments

D.Daslin Pragacini¹

¹Ponjesly College of Engineering, Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

Skyline query processing for location-based services, which considers both spatial and nonspatial attributes of the objects being queried, has recently received increasing attention. Existing solutions focus on solving point- or line-based skyline queries, in which the query location is an exact location point or a line segment. However, due to privacy concerns and limited precision of localization devices, the input of a user location is often a spatial range. This paper studies a new problem of how to process such range-based skyline queries. Two novel algorithms are proposed: one is index-based (I-SKY) and the other is not based on any index (N-SKY). To handle frequent movements of the objects being queried, we also propose incremental versions of I-SKY and N-SKY, which avoid recomputing the query index and results from scratch. Additionally, we develop efficient solutions for probabilistic and continuous rangebased skyline queries. Experimental results show that our proposed algorithms well outperform the baseline algorithm that adopts the existing line-based skyline solution. Moreover, the incremental versions of I-SKY and N-SKY save substantial computation cost, especially when the objects move frequently.

Key words: Location-based services, query processing, skyline queries, moving objects

1. Introduction

The combination of personal locator technologies, global positioning systems, and wireless communication technologies has flourished location-based services (LBSs) in recent years. The main usage of LBSs is to provide mobile users with timely information at the right place for their decision making. Conventional LBSs focus on processing proximity-based queries, including the range query and nearest neighbor (NN) query. However, these queries are not sufficient for the applications that need to consider both spatial and nonspatial attributes of the objects being queried. A typical scenario is finding a nearby car park with cheap parking fee, in which distance is a spatial attribute and parking fee is a nonspatial attribute. Clearly, here a multicriterion

query is more appealing than a conventional spatial query that considers the distance only. Among various multicriterion queries, the skyline query is considered as one of the most classical ones and receives the most attention in LBS research. However, the dynamic nature of the spatial attribute makes skyline queries in LBSs unique and challenging. Take the above park-finding scenario, for example. At different locations, the distances from the user to the car parks are not the same. As a consequence, the skyline query results differ for different locations. To efficiently compute locationbased skyline results, a number of algorithms have been proposed for one-shot queries, and continuous queries. Nevertheless, these previous studies have limitations as they simply assume that the query location is an exact location point or a line segment. In this paper, we relax this assumption and propose a more general skyline query-rangebased skyline query (RSQ), which takes a spatial range as the input of user location, as opposed to a point or a line in existing LBS skyline studies. Compared to existing skyline queries, the rangebased skyline query might be more practical for several reasons:

- The location of the query issuer could be shaped as a spatial region (e.g., a region that covers a complex spatial object or a group of users).
- Due to limited precision of localization devices, the query issuer does not have accurate knowledge about his/her exact location.
- For privacy reasons, the query issuer may not want to expose his/her exact location to the service provider. And a widely used solution is to blur the location into a cloaking region so that the adversary has no clue about where the query issuer is exactly located.

To study the range-based skyline problem, Fig. 1a shows an example of the above park-finding scenario, where the hollow points represent the parks' locations and the rectangle R represents the input range of the query. The nonspatial attributes

www.ijreat.org Published by: PIONEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT GROUP (www.prdg.org)

of the parks are shown in Fig. 1b, where low parking fee and high service quality are preferred. Given two parks p1 and p2, if p1 is no worse than p2 in nonspatial attributes and p1 is closer to the query point than p2, 1 we say p1 dominates p2. A skyline query is to find all parks that are not dominated by any other park. The skyline results depend on the location of the query point. For example, if the query point is q1, the skyline result set is {a; b} because c is dominated by b while d is dominated by both a and b; if the query point is q2, the skyline result set is {b; c} because a and d are both dominated by c. Thus, given a range-based skyline query, the service provider should return a collective set of skyline results for every possible query point of the user in R.

Unfortunately, this is not easy as the number of possible points in R is infinite. To address this issue, we propose a novel index-based algorithm, called I-SKY. The idea is to precompute the skyline scopes for all objects by their dominance relations. By indexing the skyline scopes, a range-based skyline query can be efficiently processed through a root-to-leaf traversal of the index tree.

The range-based skyline problem becomes even more challenging for dynamic data sets where the objects being queried can move and update their spatial attributes frequently. Processing skyline queries over moving objects has numerous applications, such as object tracking and monitoring, location-aware computing, virtual environments, and computer games . For example, in a taxi dispatching application, the taxis notify their locations to the dispatcher from time to time (e.g., every 5 minutes). To dispatch taxis, the dispatcher considers the location identified and the last time the location wa reported, and selects the taxis that are near to the customers with low volatility (e.g., either locations are recently updated or taxis are located at highly predictable regions with no traffic). As another example, in battlefields, to send critical supplies such as ammunitions and medical kits, the best locations can be dynamically determined by the positions of ground troops that are on the move and other nonspatial factors such as the current equipments and the number of wounded persons.2 In these highly dynamic scenarios, the index-based I-SKY algorithm may not be efficient, as the index maintenance cost is expensive. To overcome this drawback, we further propose a nonindex algorithm, called N-SKY. It reduces a range-based skyline query into several segment-based skyline queries (SSQ), for which we develop efficient query processing techniques.

Our contributions made in this paper are as follows:



- We identify a new problem of range-based skyline queries arising in LBS applications. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work that studies this problem.
- To process range-based skyline queries, we propose an index-based algorithm called I-SKY for static data sets and a nonindex algorithm called N-SKY for highly dynamic data sets.
- We further extend the problem to probabilistic and continuous range-based skyline queries. To process probabilistic top-k queries, we propose pruning techniques to improve computational efficiency. To process continuous queries, we propose efficient methods to compute the valid scope of each skyline object.
- We conduct extensive experiments to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithms. The result show that our algorithms perform well under various system settings. In particular, the incremental algorithms save substantial computation cost for highly dynamic data sets.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews related work on skyline queries and range-based queries. Section 3 gives some preliminaries of the problem. We present the indexbased algorithm I-SKY and the nonindex algorithm N-SKY in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. In Section 6, we extend the algorithms to probabilistic top-k and continuous range-based skyline queries. The proposed algorithms are experimentally evaluated in Section 7.Finally, this paper is concluded in Section 8.

2 Related Work

In essence, a range-based skyline query inherits the characteristics of a skyline query and a range-based query. As such, we review the existing work on these two queries.

Skyline query processing. Skyline query

processing was first introduced into the database community by Borzonyi et al. A number of algorithms have been proposed from then on. These algorithms can be divided into two categories. The first category is nonindex algorithms and the representatives are Black Nested Loop(BNL) and Divide-and-Conquer (D&C) [3]. BNL scans the data set sequentially and compares each new object to all skyline candidates kept in the memory. D&C partitions the data set into several parts, processes each part in the memory, and, finally, merges all partial skylines together. SFS [5] improved BNL by presorting the data sets. In the Bitmap approach [23], each data point is encoded in a bit string and the skyline is computed by some efficient operations on the bit matrix of all data points. The other category of skyline algorithms is index-based. In a high-dimensional data set is converted into a 1D data set and a B+-tree is built to accelerate query processing. In an algorithm called NN was proposed based on the depth first nearest neighbor search via RÃ -tree. Papadias et al. proposed an improved algorithm, called Branch-and-Bound Skyline (BBS), which was based on the best-first nearest neighbor search. By accessing only the nodes that contain skyline points and employing effective pruning techniques, BBS achieves the optimal I/O access. More recently, in [25], a subset of skyline points are collected to approximately represent the distribution of an entire set of skyline points. Lee et al proposed a new index structure called ZBtree to index and store data points based on Z-order curve, and developed a novel algorithm ZSearch to process skyline queries.

Huang et al. [12] introduced the skyline query problem in the context of LBSs and proposed a continuous skyline query processing algorithm called CSQ for moving clients. Assuming a linear movement model, CSQ processes the skyline query at the starting point of the query segment and tries to predict the possible changes to the answer set when the client moves. This avoids continuously computing the skyline results from scratch. Zheng et al. [28] introduced a notion of valid scope for LBS skyline queries, which saves the recomputation if the next query point is still inside the valid scope. Sharifzadeh and Shahabi [22] defined a variant of skyline query in LBSs by considering the distance between an object and a set of query points. Our work is inspired by these prior point-based or line-based skyline algorithms, but focus on range-based skyline queries. Obviously, range-based skyline queries cannot be processed by simply applying the existing algorithms because the number of query points/line segments in a range is infinite.

Range-based query processing. Range-based

query processing has recently received notable attention as the location privacy issue is becoming increasingly important. For privacy reasons, mobile clients tend to blur their exact locations into an uncertain range so that the service provider cannot find where they are exactly located. The service provider then returns a superset of candidate results for every possible query point in the range. Finally, the clients filter these results and obtain the true result by their exact locations. Existing range-based query algorithms studied only range-based kNN (RkNN) query. Hu and Lee proposed the first RkNN solution for rectangular ranges [10]. Ku et al. studied the same problem in spatial networks [17]. Complementally, Xu et al. developed an RkNN algorithm for circular ranges [26]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no work that has studied range-based skyline queries.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Problem Definition

Before we present the detailed algorithms for processing range-based skyline queries, in this section we give some preliminaries of the problem. We consider a data set of objects O. Each object o 2 O is associated with one spatial (i.e., location) attribute and several other nonspatial attributes (e.g., parking fee and service quality).

Definition 1 (Nonspatial Dominance). Given two objects o and o0, if o0 is no worse than o in all nonspatial attributes, then we say o0 nonspatially dominates o. And o0 is a nonspatial dominator object o f o, a n d o i s a nonspatial dominance object of o0. Formally, it is denoted as o0 / o. The set of o's nonspatial dominator objects is denoted as Dom(o), i.e., o is dominated by any object in Dom(o) on nonspatial attributes.

Definition 2 (Dominance).

Given a query point q and two objects o and o0, if 1) o0 nonspatially dominates o, and 2) o0 is closer to q than o (i.e., o0 also spatially dominates o), then we say o0 dominates o w.r.t. the query point q. Formally, it is denoted as o0 /q o.

TABLE 1 Geometrical Notations

PerBis(ao)	Perpendicular bisector of line segment \overline{ao} .	
H(o, m)	The open half-plane on o side of PerBis(om).	
Cir(o, a)	Interior area of the circle centered at o and with a radius of oa .	
Line(l)	The line on which line segment l is located.	
CSP(a, o, l)	Intersection point of PerBis(\overline{ao}) and Line(l) for two objects a and o satisfying $a \triangleleft o$.	

Definition 3 (Point-Based Skyline Query (PSQ)).

Given a data set O, the point À based skyline of a query point q returns a subset of O in which each object is not dominated by any other object in O w.r.t. q. Based on the above definitions, we formally define the range-based skyline query as follows:

Definition 4 (Range-Based Skyline query) Given a data set O and a query range R, the range-based skyline query returns a superset of objects that appear in the skyline set of some point in R.

3.2 Assumptions and Notations

In this paper, we are interested in how to efficiently compute RSQ(R; O) given the query range R and the data

set O. To facilitate query processing, we make the following

assumptions:

- Both the mobile user and the objects are located in a 2D plane and the distance metric is euclidean distance.
- In a dynamic environment, both the mobile user and the objects may move and update their spatial locations.
- The values of nonspatial attributes remain constant throughout the query period.
- The query range R is rectangular.
- Each object has a different location. And the distances from the mobile user to any two objects are always not equal to each other. The geometrical notations that will be used in this paper are listed in Table 1.

4 INDEX-BASED RSQ ALGORITHM: I-SKY

We now present the algorithms for processing the range-based skyline query. We first consider the basic one-shot RSQ in this and next section. We will extend it to the probabilistic RSQ and continuous RSQ (C-RSQ) in Section 6.

This section introduces an index-based RSQ algorithm, called I-SKY. In Section 4.1, we first propose a notion of skyline scope for each object. By precomputing and indexing such skyline scopes, the RSQ query can be easily processed.

To minimize the cost in computing the skyline scopes, we also propose an incremental version of the skyline scope construction algorithm in Section 4.2.

4.1 Index Construction and Query Processing

First, we introduce a notion of skyline scope for each object o. If Dom(o) is empty, i.e., o has no nonspatial dominator object, then o must be a skyline member of any query point q.





Otherwise, o will not be a skyline member of a query point q if it is farther away from q than any of its nonspatial dominator objects in Dom(o); in other words, o will be a skyline member of q if it is closer to q than all its dominators in Dom(o). Therefore, we define the skyline scope of each object o as a region in which for any point q, o is closer to q than any object in Dom(o).

Definition 5 (Skyline Scope). For an object o €O, its skyline scope in a 2D plane P

To compute the skyline scope of each object o, we borrow the concept of Voronoi cell [2].

Definition 6 (Voronoi Cell). Given a data set O, the Voronoi cell of an object o 2 O, denoted as V convex hull in which for any point q, o is the nearest object in O.

Obviously, the skyline scope of an object o can be obtained by computing the Voronoi cell of o with the object subset containing o and its nonspatial dominator objects, i.e., fog [DomðoÞ.

Then, a range-based skyline RSQ(R; O) can be computed by finding the objects whose skyline scopes intersect with R. We remark that as each object decides its own skyline scope with a different object subset, the skyline scopes of different objects may overlap. One should not take the union of all objects' skyline scopes as a Voronoi diagram [2]. Fig. 2 shows an example. Suppose a, d, and e have no nonspatial dominator object and we have the nonspatial dominance relations as a/b/c, d/b/c, and e/b/c.

Thus, the sky-line scopes of a, d, and e cover the whole space. On the other hand, the skyline scopes of b and c are obtained by their Voronoi cells with object subsets. Given an RSQ query with R as the input of the query range (see Fig. 2), since R intersects with the skyline scopes of a, b, d, and e, the skyline result set is fa; b; d As the skyline scopes do not depend on the query, they can be precomputed and indexed in advance for fast retrieval. In this paper, we use the MX-CIF quadtree [21] for indexing since it is considered more efficient to index overlapping spatial objects than an R-tree. Specifically, the

MX-CIF quadtree recursively decomposes the underlying space into four equal-sized subspaces such that the skyline scope of each object is fully enclosed by a minimal subspace. Each subspace corresponds to an index node in the MX-CIF quadtree. Each object is associated with the index node of the minimal subspace. For example, in Fig. 3a, since the skyline scopes of a and b cannot be enclosed by any single quadrant of the whole space, they are associated with the root in Fig. 3b; for the skyline scopes of c and d, their minimal enclosing nodes are N4 and N21, respectively.

The search over the index is straightforward. Given a query range R, we want to find out the skyline scopes that have intersection with R. Thus, we recursively traverse the MX-CIF quadtree from the root all the way down to the leaf nodes. For any index node whose corresponding subspace intersects with R, the skyline scope of every associated object is retrieved and checked. If it has intersection with R, the corresponding object is added to the skyline result set.

4.2 Incremental Skyline Scope Computation

The skyline scopes may change drastically as the objects move. To avoid recomputing all skyline scopes from scratch, in this section we introduce an incremental algorithm that efficiently updates skyline scopes when the objects move.3 As we will explain soon, the incremental algorithm can also be used to compute the initial skyline scopes (i.e., Line 6 of Algorithm 1 can be modified to incrementally compute the skyline scopes).



.. Fig. 3. MX-CIF quadtree index.

Any movement of an object can be decomposed into two operations: leaving the data set first and joining again.



Search area • Scanned object • Pruned object • Non-pruned object

Fig. 4. Recomputation of skyline scope.

The solution to the object's joining is straightforward. Suppose that an object o joins the data set. Its skyline scope with the current data set can be computed and inserted into the index tree. Additionally, for each o's nonspatial dominance object m, we should check whether PerBis(mo) intersects with the original skyline scope of m. If it does, the new skyline scope of m should be updated to the intersection area and the original skyline scope of m. can be also incrementally computed as if they join the data set one by one.

The solution to the object o's leaving is more complex. The first step is deleting the skyline scope of o from the index tree. Then, for each o's nonspatial dominance object m, we should check whether o has contributed to the boundary of m's skyline scope: if it has not, the skyline scope of m does not change. Otherwise, it should be recomputed.

Fig. 4 illustrates such a recomputation process. We assume that the original skyline scope of m is shown as the triangle in Fig. 4a and then the object o leaves. It is an intermediate skyline scope. The essence of skyline scope recomputation is finding the objects in Dom(m) whose perpendicular bisectors with m "cut" the intermediate skyline scope and form the final new skyline scope. However, not every object in Dom(m) has the chance of cutting and some can be pruned to reduce the computation cost. To facilitate the pruning, we introduce a notion of search area in the recomputation, which is defined as an area that any object located outside has no chance to contribute to the new skyline scope and can be pruned from

further consideration. For example, the search area is marked by dashed lines in Figs. 4b, 4c, and 4d. And then we repeatedly choose the objects in the search area (e.g., object e in Fig. 4c and object f in Fig. 4d) from near to far to perform such cutting. After each step of cutting, the intermediate skyline scope may shrink. In Fig. 4c, it becomes polygon < c2; c0; c4; c3; c1> and in Fig. 4d it further becomes polygon < c2; c0; c5; c6; c3; c1>. The search area will also shrink accordingly. When no more object is found in the search area, the final skyline scope is obtained.

In the following, we explain in detail how to update the search area from an intermediate skyline scope. An intermediate skyline scope may be a close or an open area, as shown in Figs. 5a and 5b, respectively. In both cases, it can be divided into two parts: the original skyline scope of m and the other area that shares its border PerBis(mo) (marked by dotted lines in Figs. 5a and 5b). We call the second part an incremental area. With this notion, if an object has a chance to contribute to the final new skyline scope of m, its perpendicular bisector with m should only intersect with the incremental area, and not with the original skyline scope of m. This principle lays the foundation for object pruning. If an object does contribute to the new skyline scope, the shape of new search area can be obtained by 1.

Definition 7. Given a point o and a half-line r starting from point a, let o0 denote the symmetrical point of o with respect to r, and Hoo0 δrP denote the half plane on r's infinite-direction side of Line(oo0) (i.e., the right side of Line(oo0) in Fig. 7),no matter whether Line(oo0) intersects with r, as shown in Fig. 7. Note that for any point p in PerBis(po) will intersect with r.

The proof of this theorem is given in Appendix B, available in the online supplemental material.

With the notion of search area, we present the index update algorithm in Algorithm . In the object leaving scenario, we check the nonspatial dominator objects from near to far (Lines 11 and 13), because the nearer dominator

objects are more likely to contribute to the boundary of the final skyline scope. Note that the search area is the union of some circular areas (see Fig. 6). We also set a stop condition—the search bound maxDist—for the cutting.

This bound is set to two times of the distance between m and the farthest vertex of the incremental area (Lines 15-19).

Algorithm 2. Update of skyline scope index for I-SKY

1: if object o joins then

2:compute SS(o) and insert it into the index tree;

3:for each o's non-spatial dominance object m do 4:if PerBis(mo) intersects with SS(m) then

5:SS(mP 1/4 SS(m) \Hðm; oP;

6:replace SS(m) in the index tree;

7: if object o leaves then

8:remove SS(o) from the index tree;

9:for each o's non-spatial dominance object m do 10:if o has contributed to m's skyline scope then 11:sort m's non-spatial dominator objects into

DomQueue ordered by their distances to m; 12:initialize the search area and incremental area

by Theorem 1;

13:while DomQueue is not empty do 14:xDomQueue:popðÞ;

www.ijreat.org

15:if the incremental area is open then
16:maxDist1;
17:else maxDistthe distance from the farthest vertex of the incremental area to m;
18:if distðx; mÞ > 2 Â maxDist then
19:break;
20:if x is in the search area then
21:cut the intermediate skyline scope by

PerBis(xm); 22:update the incremental area and search area 23:replace SS(m) in the index tree;



Fig. 5. Intermediate skyline scopes.

algorithm N-SKY. First, we prove that a rangebased skyline query can be reduced to several segment-based skyline queries. Then, we present an efficient algorithm for processing segment-based skyline queries.

5.1 Reducing RSQ to SSQs

According to the definition of RSQ (Definition 4 in Section 3.1), any object o 2 O located inside the query range R must be a result object of the query, i.e., a member of PSQ(o; O), since o is not spatially dominated by any other object with respect to the query point occupied by o. Such objects can be obtained by a range query of R. In the following, we focus on finding the set of result objects located outside R.

We start by defining the segment-based skyline query.



5 NONINDEX RSQ ALGORITHM: N-SKY

I-SKY indexes the skyline scopes, which accelerates the processing of range-based skyline queries. However, the maintenance cost of the skyline scope index would be high if the objects update their locations frequently. Although we have developed an incremental index update algorithm, frequent object location updates may still cause many unnecessary index updating operations on the skyline scopes that are not being queried.

To avoid the high update cost of I-SKY for the scenarios where the objects move frequently and fast, in this section we propose a nonindex



WWW.ijreat.org Published by: PIONEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT GROUP (www.prdg.org)

7



Fig. 6. Illustration of search area for the two cases.



Fig 7.Shaded area

Definition 8 (Segment-based Skyline Query). Given a data set O and a line segment l, the segment-based skyline returns a superset of objects that appear in the skyline set of any point on

An important observation is that, any result object must be a member of the skyline set of some point on the boundary of R. Theorem 2 proves the correctness of this observation, which can then be used to reduce the RSQ problem to SSQ problem.

Theorem 2. If an object o is a result of RSQ(R; O) and o is outside R, o must be a member of the skyline set w.r.t. some query point on the boundary of R.The proof of this theorem is given in Appendix C,available in the online supplemental material.

By Theorem 2, the RSQ problem can be reduced to arange query plus several SSQs based on the boundary of the query range. As the query range is rectangular, its boundary consists of four line segments. This reduction effectively decreases the dimensionality of the problem from 2D to 1D, as stated in Theorem 3.

Theorem 3.

Given a data set O and a rectangular range R whose boundary consists of four line segments 11 through 14, the RSQ problem can be reduced to a range query of R and four SSQs of SSQ(11; O), SSQ(12; O), SSQ(13; O), and SSQ(14; O).

The range query of R can be easily evaluated using a traditional method. In the following, we discuss how to efficiently compute SSQ(I; O) given a line segment I and a data set O. the candidate enter-in points and leave-out points for each nonskyline object and skyline object, respectively, based on the current skyline set. As the skyline set changes, we update the enter-in and leave-out points that are affected, and then choose the next nearest point for updating the skyline set. In the following, we give the details of this SSQ algorithm.

5.2 SSQ Algorithm

5.2.1 Overview

SSQ(1; O) consists of the skyline of each point q on the line segment 1. The basic idea of evaluating an SSQ is to move q from 1's left end to its right end, and look for positions where the skyline set changes. The changes can be divided into two cases: an object enters the skyline set and an object leaves the skyline set. Intuitively, the former case means no any other object dominates the entering object any longer, and the latter case means another object is about to dominate the leaving object. The corresponding points on the line segment are called enter-in and leave-out points, respectively. To efficiently compute the enter-in point and leave-out point of each object o, we observe a prerequisite as stated in Lemma 1.

Lemma 1. Regarding q as the query point, if there is no current skyline object o0 2 PSQðq; OP dominating object o (both nonspatially and spatially), o will not be dominated by any other object in O, and hence should enter the skyline set; on the other hand, if there is some skyline object o0

dominating object o, o is no longer a skyline member of q.

However, the challenge is that the skyline set will change as the query point moves. As a result, it is not easy to precompute the enter-in points and leave-out points of all objects in advance. To resolve this issue, we maintain

5.2.2 Data Structures of the SSQ Algorithm

To facilitate our discussion, we introduce a coordinate system along the line segment. We use the name of a pointand its coordinate interchangeably, i.e., a means both the point a and its coordinate. Hence, a < b (resp. a > b) means a lies to the left (resp. right) of b.

For each object o 2 O and a point p on the line segment, if no object in the skyline set PSQ(p; O) dominates o w.r.t. p, p is called a free point of o. For each nonskyline object o, the left-most free point of o is called o's enter-in point (denoted as In[o]). For each skyline object o, the right-most free point of o is called o's leave-out point (denoted as Out[o]).

Meanwhile, we say o is the corresponding object of its enter- in point or leave-out point. Obviously, as the skyline set changes, the enter-in and leave-out points of some objects will change as well.

Fig. 8 illustrates a method to compute the enterin and leave-out points, where l is a boundary line of the query region. We first find out all nonspatial dominator objects of o in the skyline set, e.g., a; b, and c as shown in Fig. 8a.

According to the relationship between their projections on the line segment 1 and o's projection, these objects can be divided into two subsets. If the projection of an object lies to the left of o's projection, it is called o's left nonspatial dominator, e.g., a and b. Meanwhile, we say a and b left dominate o. For any object o and its nonspatial dominator object a, the intersection point of PerBis(ao) and Line(l) is called CSP ha; o; li. The CSP of o's left nonspatial dominator and o is called o's left non-spatial dominate point (LDP), e.g., points p1 and p2. On the other hand, if the projection of an object lies to the right of o's projection, it is called o's right nonspatial dominator, e.g., c. Meanwhile, we say c right dominates o. The CSP of o's right dominator and o

is called o's right nonspatial dominate point (RDP), e.g., point p3. To get the enter-in point In[o], we find out the rightmost LDP (RM-LDP) and the leftmost RDP (LM-RDP). If the RM- LDP lies on the left side of the LM-RDP (e.g., point p1 versus p3 in Fig. 8a), the RM-LDP is obviously the point of In[o], as starting from this point to the LM-RDP, o is not spatially dominated by any of its other nonspatial dom- inator object. Otherwise, if the RM-LDP lies on the right side of the LM-RDP (e.g., point p1 versus p3 in Fig. 8b), it means that o will always be spatially dominated by some of



Fig. 8. Illustration of enter-in point.

its nonspatial dominator objects. Hence, o would never enter the skyline set and thus In[o] is left as empty.

Similarly, Out[0] for a skyline member o can be obtained from the LM-RDP.

We introduce a priority list UpdateQueue to store the enter-in and leave-out points from left to right. As the query point moves, we repeatedly pop up the first element of UpdateQueue and execute the corresponding enter-in or leave-out operation. As the skyline set changes, the enter-in and leave-out points of some object(s) will change as well and the corresponding elements in UpdateQueue should be adjusted. As will be proved in Theorem 4, the leave-out operation will not affect the enter-in or leave-out point of any other object. The enter-in operation of an object o will affect the enter-in and leave-out points of o's nonspatial dominance objects only. Specifically, the enter-in point(s) of some object(s) may move rightwards and the leaveout point(s) of some object(s) may move leftwards. Thus, the corresponding elements in UpdateQueue must change accordingly. In particular, the new enter-in point of some object may lie to the right of its the leftmost RDP. Since in this case it has no chance for the object to enter the skyline set any

longer, such an enter-in point should be removed from UpdateQueue.

Algorithm 3 gives a formal description for the dynamic update of UpdateQueue when a new object o enters the skyline set. The following theorem justifies the leave-out operation and thus the correctness of this algorithm.

Algorithm 3. Dynamic update of UpdateQueue (when objecto enters the skyline set)

1: NON_SKYfmjo / m ^ m 62 PSQðIn¹/20Š; OÞg;

2: for each object m in NON_SKY do

3:if o left dominates m at p and p lies on the right side

5:update In[m] in UpdateQueue;

6:if o right dominates m at p and p lies on the left side then

7:remove In[m] from UpdateQueue;

8: SKY

9: for each object m in SKY do

10:if o right dominates m at p and p lies on the left side of Out[m] then

11:Out[m]p;

12:update Out[m] in UpdateQueue;

13: compute Out[o] based on the skyline set;

14: insert Out[o] into UpdateQueue;

Theorem 4. In the SSQ(1; O) problem, if an object m 2 O leaves the skyline set at point q on the line segment 1, the enter-in or leave-out point of other objects in O will not be affected.

The proof of this theorem is given in Appendix D, available in the online supplemental material.

5.2.3 SSQ Algorithm

With the data structures of enter-in points, leaveout points, and UpdateQueue, Algorithm 4 gives the pseudocode of the complete SSQ algorithm. We illustrate the algorithm using an example shown in Fig. 9. Fig. 9a shows the positions of five objects ae and the line segment 1, and Fig. 9b shows the two nonspatial attributes of each object. Assuming that lower attribute values are preferred, we can get sevennonspatial dominance pairs

Algorithm 4. Algorithm for SSQ(1; O)

1: initialize P SQPSQ(s; O), where s is the starting point of l;

- 2: while UpdateQueue is not empty do 3:next qleftmost point of UpdateQueue;
- 4:if next q is not within 1 then

5:break;

6:ocorresponding object of next q;

7:remove next q from UpdateQueue;

8:if next q is a leave-out point then

9:P SQP SQ À fog;

10: if next q is an enter-in point then

11:P SQP SQ [fog;

12:invoke Algorithm 3 to update UpdateQueue;

Initially, the skyline set at the starting point s is computed by a point-based skyline algorithm (e.g., BBS [19]) or reused from the results of an adjacent line segment if available. The result set for this example is fa; bg (see Fig. 9c). Then, the enter-in point and leave-out point of each object is computed by the method presented in the last section. As no object dominates a, a will never leave the skyline set, and hence there is no Out¹/2aŠ. After sorting these enter-in and leave-out points from left to right, UpdateQueue is initialized. Next, we pop up the first element from UpdateQueue and perform the corresponding update of the skyline set, that is, object c enters the skyline set when the query point q passes p1. Because objects b, d, and e are c's nonspatial dominance objects, we should check whether their enter-in and leave-out points will be affected. Since c right dominates e w.r.t. point p6 and p6 lies to the left of e's enter-in point p5, e has no chance to enter the skyline set. Its enter-in point should be removed from UpdateQueue. Since c left dominates d w.r.t. point p4, which lies to the right of d's original enter-in point p3, In¹/₂dŠ should be updated as p4. Since c right dominates b w.r.t. p7, which lies to the left of b's original leave-out point p2, Out[b] should be updated as p7. After updating the enter-in and leave-out points, two elements remain in UpdateQueue. After that, they are popped up and the corresponding updates are performed, that is, b leaves the skyline set and d enters the skyline set. Finally, UpdateQueue becomes empty and the algorithm is terminated.

The union of the skyline sets generated during query processing forms the final results of SSQ(l; O). In the above example, SSQ(l; O) can be obtained as {a; b; c; d}. By the SSQ algorithm, we can also record the sky_interval of each SSQ result object, i.e., the part of the line segment in which the object is in the skyline set.

www.ijreat.org

The time complexity of the SSQ algorithm (Algorithm 4) is analyzed as follows: For each result object of SSQ(l; O), Algorithm 3 is invoked once. The size of the SSQ result set can be estimated as Oððln jOjÞd Þ, where d is the number of nonspatial attributes [1]. Algorithm 3 needs to handle each nonspatial dominance object of the new skyline member. The average number of such dominance objects is calculated. For each nonspatial dominance object, the possible operations are modifying their enter-in and leaveout points and updating their positions in Update-Queue. The complexity of the former is linear.



Fig. 9. Illustration of SSQ algorithm.

6 EXTENSIONS

In this section, we extend the RSQ problem to the probabilistic RSQ query in Section 6.1 and the continuous RSQ query in Section 6.2.

6.1 Probabilistic RSQ Problem

As the RSQ query considers a spatial range as the query input, instead of a location point, the result set size might be too large for the user in some applications. To address this problem, we propose a concept of skyline probability to rank the skyline results of the RSQ query.

Definition 9 (Skyline Probability). For a rangebased skyline query RSQ(R; O) and a result object o 2 O, the skyline probability of o is defined as the portion (in percentage) of the query range R in which any point q satisfies that o is a skyline result of PSQ(q; O).

Thus, we can reduce the result set by returning only the op-k results with the highest skyline probabilities, which is termed as probabilistic top-k RSQ. To answer such queries, we first consider how to extend the I-SKY algorithm.

According to Definitions 5 and 9, the skyline probability of an object o can be obtained by the intersection area of the query range R and o's skyline scope.Recall that in the index tree of skyline scopes (Section 4.1), the subspace of each index node serves as a minimal bound of the associated skyline scopes. In other words, if o's skyline scope is associated with an index node n, we must have inter areaðR; oP inter areaðR; nP.

Based on this observation, we develop Algorithm 5 to prune the search space for top-k query processing. We dynamically maintain a priority queue H for index nodes and data objects, ordered by their inter area values, while traversing the index tree (Lines 3-4 and 7-8). An object enqueued from H may become a top-k result (Lines 9-13). The top-k query processing proceeds until we encounter an index node or an object whose intersection area is no larger than the kth result obtained so far (Line 6). This is because all the remaining objects could not have an intersection area (skyline probability) larger than the current kth result. Thus, they can be pruned from consideration as top-k results.

Algorithm 5. Probabilistic top-k RSQ processing (I-SKY)

1: initialize the top-k result set S;

2: denote the query range as R, the k-th result in S as sk

3: insert the root of skyline-scope index iRoot into a priority queue H, sorted in descending order of

inter_area

- 4: while H is not empty do
- 5: pop up the top element e from H

6: if lsl= k and inter area(R; e)< inter area(R; sk) then break;

7: if e is an index node then

8:insert e's children and associated objects into H

9: if e is a data object then

10:if |S| < k then

11:insert e into S and compute sk if available

12:else if inter area(R; e) > inter area(R; sk) then 13:replace sk with e in S and recompute sk

Next, we discuss how to extend the N-SKY algorithm for processing the probabilistic top-k RSQ query. In Theorem 3, we have showed that the RSQ problem can be reduced to a range query of R and four SSQs of the range boundary. Recall in the proposed SSQ algorithm (Section 5.2), the skyline results of a line segment 1 are obtained by first computing the skyline set PSQ(s; O) of l's starting point s and then dynamically updating it by moving the query point along 1 to its ending point. A significant amount of computation lies in updating the skyline set based on the enter-in/leave-out points of the objects when the query point moves. To prune the computation for probabilistic top-k processing, we prove in Theorem 5 that if an object is a final SSQ result, it must be a skyline object in PSQ(s; O) or its initial enter-in point must lie on l. Thus, we can quickly obtain a candidate set of skyline results through the initial processing.

Theorem 5. For SSQ(I; O), if an object is a final SSQ result, it must be in PSQ(s; O) or its initial enter-in point must lie on 1, where s is the starting point of 1.

The proof of this theorem is given in Appendix E, available in the online supplemental material.

Then, we develop an upper bound of skyline probability for each object in the candidate set in order to prioritize further processing. For a skyline object o in PSQ(s; O), its leave-out point Out[o] can be computed as discussed in Section 5.2.2. Denote o's right nonspatial dominator object corresponding to Out[o] as o0. The perpendicular bisector PerBis(000) divides the whole space into two open-half planes. Clearly, o will not be a skyline object for any query point q located in the open-half plane containing o0, since o will be (at least) dominated by o0 w.r.t. such q. Thus, we can derive the upper bound of o's skyline probability. consider the segment sv in Fig. 10, where b is an initial skyline object and Out¹/₂bŠ ¹/₄ p2 ; hence, max_prob(b) is the portion of the area enclosed by the polygon hs; p2 ; i2 ; w; ui.

Similarly, for a nonskyline object o, we can compute itsenter-in point InlŠ. Denote its left nonspatial dominator object (an initial skyline result) It is possible for this object o to become a skyline result only if the query point q is located in the open-half plane containing o cut by PerBis(0000), since otherwise o will be dominated by 000. In the example of Fig. 10, for the nonskyline object d, max_prob(d) is the portion of the area enclosed by the polygon hp3; v; w; i3 i, .

The max-prob bound can be further tightened when we consider more subsequent line segments. In Fig. 10, suppose d is an initial skyline result for the segment vw and Out¹/2dŠ¹/4 p8. Thus, max_prob(d) can be further reduced to the portion of the polygon hp3; v; p8; i8; i3 i when vw is considered.

Based on the notion of max-prob, we develop the probabilistic top-k RSQ algorithm for N-SKY in Algorithm 6. In the first stage, we compute the skyline results of range query R

(Line 3). After getting their skyline probabilities, the top-k result set S is initialized (Line 4). Next, we consider the four SSQ queries and compute a candidate result set SKY_CAND (Lines 5-10). For each object in SKY_CAND, we compute its maxprob bound and insert it into a priority queue H in the descending order of max-prob (Lines 11-12). Finally, we iteratively compute the skyline probability for each object in H and dynamically update S, until the kth object obtained so far has a skyline probability higher than the max-prob bound of the next object (Lines 13-19).

To obtain the skyline probability for a candidate result (Lines 4 and 18), we need to compute its skyline scope at runtime. A simple solution is to use the generic method described in Section 4.1. We remark that this solution can be further optimized by pruning the candidate objects based on the query range during skyline scope computation, as detailed in Appendix F, available in the online supplemental material.

Algorithm 6. Probabilistic top-k RSQ processing (N-SKY)

1: initialize the top-k result set S;

2: denote the query range as R, the k-th result in S as sk

3: RQthe result set of range query R over data set O

4: compute the skyline probability of each object in RQ and insert the top-k objects into S

5: initialize the candidate skyline set SKY_CAND;6: for each line segment l of R do

7:SKY_CANDSKY_CAND [PSQ(s; O), where s is the starting point of 1

8:for each object m not in PSQ(s; O) do 9:if In¹/2mŠ 2 l then

10:SKY_CANDSKY_CAND [fmg

11: for each object m in SKY_CAND but not in RQ do

12:compute m's max-prob bound and insert m into priority queue H in the descending order of maxprob

13: while H is not empty do

14:pop up the top element e from H

15:if jSj ¼ k and sk 's skyline probability ! maxprob(e)

then break

16: if jSj < k then

17:insert e into S and compute sk if available

18:else if e's skyline probability > sk 's then

19:replace sk with e in S and recompute sk

6.2 Continuous RSQ Problem

So far we have studied the one-shot RSQ query. However, in location-based services, the user may sometimes prefer that the query is issued once whereas its result is monitored continuously. For example, a driver may issue an RSQ query "finding nearby gas stations with cheap gas prices" on her route from one place to another; a tourist may issue an RSQ query "monitoring nearby taxis with low volatility" while she is walking on a busy street. In this section, we study the continuous RSQ problem which computes the RSQ results for a moving query. In addition, an incremental C-RSQ algorithm for moving objects is presented in Appendix H, available in the online supplemental material.

The C-RSQ problem is defined as follows:

Definition 10 (Continuous Range-Based Skyline Query (C-RSQ)). Given a data set O and a linearly

moving query range from R to R0 (see Fig. 11), where we assume the moving path is known in advance, the C-RSQ query returns the set of objects that are results of RSQs for some query range between R and R0, together with the valid scope of each result object, denoting the duration when the object is a skyline result.



Fig. 11. Continuous RSQ problem.

Parameter	Value Range	Default Setting	
Dataset cardinality	[10K, 1,000K]	100K	
# non-spatial attributes	2, 4, 6,8	2	
Side length of query range	[500, 2,000]	2,000 Units	
Buffer size	[1%, 20%]	5% of dataset size	
Average movement speed	[2m/s, 20m/s]	0	
Non-spatial attribute values	[0, 100,000]		

TABLE 3 Parameter Settings

Dataset cardinality	10K	100K	1000K
Index size	25 MB	238 MB	2 GB
Divide-and-conquer	4.5 mins	1.5 hrs	23 hrs
Incremental algorithm	1.5 mins	22 mins	5.3 hrs

TABLE 2
Index Size and Index Construction Time
Fig. 11. Continuous RSO problem.

7 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

7.1 Experiment Setup

In this section, we evaluate the performance of our proposed algorithms through simulations. The spatial data set used in the experiments contains 2,249,727 objects representing the centroids of the street segments in California [20]. A subset of these objects are randomly chosen to form the testing data set. The nonspatial attribute values of these

objects are synthesized with a uniform distribution in the interval [0, 100,000]. The data space is normalized to a 100;000 Unit \hat{A} 100;000 Unit square, where 1 Unit represents about 1 meter.

We index the nonspatial attributes of the objects by an R-tree (with a page fanout of 200 and a page occupancy of 70 percent). The page size is 4 K bytes and the size of each object is 320 bytes. We simulate the object movement by following a wellknown random way-point model [7]. As for the location update strategy, we adopt a common deviation- based one as follows: an object will update when and only when it is 100 meters away from its last reported location.

Obviously, the location update frequency is proportional to the speed of the moving object.

We conducted our experiments on a workstation (Intel Xeon E5440 2.83 GHz CPU) running on Ubuntu Linux Operating System. The simulation codes were written in Java (JDK 1.6). For simplicity, the query ranges are randomly generated as squares. We measure the performance with two metrics: CPU time and I/O cost. In each I/O cost experiment, all objects and indexes are stored on a secondary-storage disk and a buffer in main memory is simulated. The number of buffer misses is an indicator of I/O cost. In each CPU time experiment, all objects and indexes are stored in

the memory to exclude the overhead of disk accesses. Each measurement is the average result over 100 queries.

For I-SKY, we assume that the skyline scope of each object has been precomputed and indexed by the MX-CIF quad- tree. Table 2 shows the index size and the index construction time under the divide-and-conquer algorithm [2] and the incremental algorithm proposed in Section 4.2. While the index size and construction time are proportional to the data set cardinality, the proposed incremental algorithm clearly outperforms the divide-and-conquer algorithm.

The experiments are divided into two parts: oneshot RSQ and continuous RSQ. The default settings and value ranges of the system parameters are summarized in Table 3.

7.2 One-Shot RSQ Results

For one-shot RSQ queries, we compare the CPU time and I/ O cost of three algorithms, i.e., CSQ [12], I-SKY, and N-SKY.

We investigate the effect of data set cardinality, dimensionality of nonspatial attributes, query range size, and buffer size. With CSQ, the RSQ problem is also reduced to the SSQ

8 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented a range-based skyline query as an extension to point- and linebased skyline queries. We have proposed indexbased (I-SKY) and nonindex (N-SKY) solutions to resolve the range-based skyline problem. To handle the movement of the objects being queried, the incremental construction of the I-SKY index has also been devised. We have also studied the probabilistic range-based skyline problem to reduce both the result set size and computation cost. Additionally, we have extended the range-based skyline query to the continuous domain, and developed query processing algorithms for static and moving objects. The experimental results show that our proposed algorithms outperform than the existing line-based skyline solution in terms of both the CPU time and I/O cost.

As for future work, we will extend the query range to arbitrary shapes that have a closed-form mathematical expression, especially those with arclike boundaries. Furthermore, we plan to extend our range-based skyline problem to road networks. As the perpendicular-bisector- based method does not work for the network distance, new query processing algorithms need to be developed.

REFERENCES

- J.L. Bentley, H.T. Kung, M. Schkolnick, and C.D. Thompson, "Onthe Average Number of Maxima in Set of Vectors and Applications," J. ACM, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 536-543, 1978.
- M. Berg, O. Cheong, and M. Kreveld, Computational Geometry:Algorithms and Applications, third ed., chapter 7. Springer, 2008.

- S. Borzonyi, D. Kossmann, and K. Stocker, "The SkylineOperator," Proc. Int'l Conf. Data Eng., pp. 421-430, 2001.
- Y. Cai and T. Xu, "Design, Analysis, and Implementation of aLarge-Scale Real-Time Location-Based Information Sharing System," Proc. ACM Sixth Int'l Conf. Mobile Systems, Applications, andServices (MobiSys '08), pp. 106-117, 2008.



www.ijreat.org

Published by: PIONEER RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT GROUP (www.prdg.org)